



POLREP 203, SPECIAL BULLETIN F
Removal Project Update
Shaffer Equipment Company Site,
Superfund Removal Project - D8
Minden, Fayette County, West Virginia
Removal Evaluation

cc: Dennis Carney, John Riley

I. Situation - 03/28/95

A.EPA OSC, Stephen Jarvela, reactivates Removal Project to conduct tasks for the evaluation of the original remedy initiated and completed under previous removal actions. This evaluation includes the consideration of post removal site control pursuant to Section 300.415(1) of the NCP.

B.Due to the re-activation of projects on this site on two separate occasions the sequential numbering of POLREPs has been interrupted. The number of this POLREP (#203) continues the sequence established under the initial removal action while allowing for the inclusive numbering of POLREPS from the other two re-activations.

II.Update - 03/28/95 - The following is the chronology of major events from the completion of Removal activities 1/91 (see POLREP #8 of the second restart) to present.

4/91- Public meeting - EPA held close out public meeting for the Shaffer Removal Project.

6/93- EPA OSC and TAT conduct a file review of the project to address questions raised by the ATSDR Petitioned Public Health Assessment.

7/93- File review submitted to interested parties for comment.

8/93- Comments received from ATSDR, PRPs, and CCSFC.

10/93- EPA OSC prepares draft site reassessment work plan based on comments received and submits to interested parties for comment.

10/93- EPA OSC, TAT, WVDEQ conduct a preliminary assessment implementing the work plan.

1/94- Site assessment trip report completed and distributed to interested parties for review and comment.

5/94- EPA OSC holds a public availability to discuss the Shaffer Equipment site and other concerns of the citizens of Minden of other interested parties.

7/94- TAT prepares a draft expanded assessment work plan incorporating comments and concerns raises in the May meeting.

7/94- EPA OSC requests support from Bureau of Reclamation (BOR) to conduct geological investigation and provide other technical assistance as outlined in the work plan.

10/94- EPA, BOR, and TAT conduct expanded assessment and geological investigation.

3/95- BOR draft report submitted for OSC, ERT and TAT review.

III. Actions Taken

A.OSC conducted review of past removal action memoranda and funding authorization.

B.Summary of past Removal 104(b) expenditures base on 7/94 FMS Report:

Project ceiling		\$5,015,490.00
ERCS	\$3,823,511.41	
TAT	217,288.77	
IAG	43,818.03	
other contracts	190,182.20	
EPA Region 3 direct	67,865.51	
EPA HQ direct	9,306.18	
EPA indirect	77,220.00	
subtotal	\$4,429,192.10	
Remaining Balance		\$586,297.90

C.Current Project activity cost summary thru 1/31/95:

Unobligated Project ceiling		\$586,297.90
IAG w/BOR	220,000	139,057.84
TAT	200,000	
EPA Direct	10,000	4,250.00est.
EPA indirect	12,800	3,300.00est.
Total	442,000	

D.The OSC has assessed the initial remedy to determine if it fully addresses the threat posed by the site [section 300.415(f)] in light of the most recent HRS pre-score, which will not support the site being considered for the NPL.

E.The OSC is assessing the current conditions at the site to provide the basis for the development of options for a long term remedy pursuant to Section 300.415(c) of the NCP.

IV. Future Plans

A.The OSC's initial file review and preliminary investigation has identified the potential need for post removal controls (Section 300.415(1) of the NCP) to provide for a long term remedy for this site.

B.Upon completion of the OSC's evaluation a final report of findings and recommendations will be completed. If warranted, appropriate action memoranda will be prepared.

C. Case Pending.

Stephen Jarvela, OSC
EPA Region III
Philadelphia, PA